## 13 Roots of a semisimple Lie algebra

Recall that the Killing form K gives a non-degenerate bilinear pairing  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \times \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} \to \mathbb{F}$ . In addition,  $[e, f] = K(e, f)\nu^{-1}(\alpha)$  for any  $e \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ ,  $f \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$ . Also,  $K(\alpha, \alpha) \neq 0$  for any  $\alpha \in \Delta$ .

For each  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , choose a non-zero  $E \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$  and an  $F \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$  such that  $K(E,F) = \frac{2}{K(\alpha,\alpha)}$ . Let  $H = \frac{2\nu^{-1}(\alpha)}{K(\alpha,\alpha)}$ . Then [E,F] = H,  $[E,H] = -\alpha(H)E = -2E$  and  $[F,H] = \alpha(H)F = 2F$  (as  $\alpha(\nu^{-1}(\alpha)) = K(\alpha,\alpha)$ ). So the span of E, F and H is isomorphic to  $sl_2(\mathbb{F})$ .

**Lemma 13.1 (Basic lemma for**  $sl_2$ ) Let  $\pi$  be a representation of  $sl_2(\mathbb{F})$  in a vector space V, and  $v \in V$  be such that  $\pi(E)v = 0$  and  $\pi(H)v = \lambda v$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ . Then

1. 
$$\pi(H)\pi(F)^n v = (\lambda - 2n)\pi(F)^n v$$

2. 
$$\pi(E)\pi(F)^n v = n(\lambda - n + 1)\pi(F)^{(n-1)}v$$

3. If V is finite-dimensional, then  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , the vectors  $\pi(F)^j v$   $(0 \le j \le \lambda)$  are linearly independent, and  $\pi(F)^{(\lambda+1)}v=0$ .

*Proof.* (1) and (2) are proved by induction on n; we leave the details to the reader. For (3), notice that if  $\lambda - n + 1$  were non-zero for all integer  $n \ge 1$ , then (2) would imply, by induction, that  $\pi(F)^n v \ne 0$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . In this case (1) would mean that  $\pi(H)$  has infinitely many eigenvalues, which is impossible in a finite-dimensional space. Therefore,  $\lambda$  is a non-negative integer.

Next, it follows from (2) by induction that  $\pi(F)^j v \neq 0$  for  $j \leq \lambda$ . Then (1) says that  $\pi(F)^j v$  is an eigenvector of  $\pi(H)$  that corresponds to the eigenvalue  $\lambda - 2j$ ; therefore  $v, \pi(F)v, \pi(F)^2v, \ldots \pi(F)^{\lambda}(v)$  must be linearly independent. Finally,  $\pi(F)^{\lambda+1}(j)$  must be zero, because otherwise (2) would imply, by induction, that  $\pi(F)^n v$  is non-zero for infinitely many values of n, and  $\pi(H)$  would have infinitely many eigenvalues, which cannot possibly happen.

## **Exercise 13.1** Prove parts (1) and (2) in the basic lemma for $sl_2(\mathbb{F})$ .

Solution. Not surprisingly, we use induction on n. Let's start with part (1). The case n = 0 is given. Assume this is true for some n. Then for n + 1,

$$\pi(H)\pi(F)^{n+1}v = \pi(F)\pi(H)\pi(F)^n v - \pi([F,H])\pi(F)^n v =$$
  
=  $\pi(F)((\lambda - 2n)\pi(F)^n v) - 2\pi(F)\pi(F)^n v = (\lambda - 2(n+1))\pi(F)^{n+1}v$ 

so, by induction, the statement is true for all n.

In part (2), as much as we'd like to, we cannot begin with n = 0, because  $\pi(F)$  may not be invertible. So let's use n = 1 as the inductive base:

$$\pi(E)\pi(F)v = \pi(F)\pi(E)v - \pi([F, E])v = \pi(H)v = \lambda v$$

because  $\pi(E)v = 0$ ,  $\pi(H)v = \lambda v$  and [E, F] = H.

So for n = 1, this is true. If it is true for some n, then, for n + 1, we write

$$\pi(E)\pi(F)^{n+1}v = \pi(F)\pi(E)\pi(F)^n(v) - \pi([F,E])\pi(F)^n(v) =$$
  
=  $\pi(F)(n(\lambda - n + 1)\pi(F^{n-1})v) + \pi(H)\pi(F)^n(v) =$   
=  $(n(\lambda - n + 1) + (\lambda - 2n))\pi(F)^n v = (n + 1)(\lambda - (n + 1) + 1)\pi(F)^n v$ 

so, by induction, this statement is also true for all n.

**Exercise 13.2** Assume  $\pi$  is a representation of  $sl_2(\mathbb{F})$  in a vector space V, and  $v \in V$  is such that  $\pi(F)v = 0$  and  $\pi(H)v = \lambda v$ . Prove that  $\pi(H)\pi(E)^n v = (\lambda + 2n)\pi(E)^n v$ , that  $\pi(F)\pi(E)^n v = -n(\lambda + n - 1)\pi(E)^{(n-1)}v$ , and that if V is given to be finite-dimensional, then  $-\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , the vectors  $\pi(E)^j v$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq -\lambda$ , are linearly independent, and  $\pi(E)^{-\lambda+1}v = 0$ .

Solution. Rather than rewrite the proof of the basic lemma for  $sl_2$  with minor changes (which is possible), we'll reduce this fact to the basic lemma. Namely, consider the isomorphism  $\varphi : sl_2 \to sl_2$  for which

$$\varphi(E) = F, \quad \varphi(F) = E, \quad \varphi(H) = -H$$

One checks easily that  $\varphi$  is a Lie algebra isomorphism. Therefore, the representation  $\pi'$  of  $sl_2$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  defined by  $\pi'A(u) = \pi(\varphi(A))u$  is indeed a representation. Obviously,  $\pi'$  satisfies the assumptions of the basic lemma, except that  $\pi'(H)v = -\lambda v$ . Replacing all  $\lambda$ -s by  $-\lambda$ -s in the conclusions of the basic lemma, we see that, first,  $\pi'(H)\pi'(F)^n v = (-\lambda - 2n)\pi'(F)^n v$ . Second,  $\pi'(E)\pi'(F)^n v = n(-\lambda - n + 1)\pi'(F)^{(n-1)}v$ . And third, if V is finite-dimensional, then  $-\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , the vectors  $\pi'(F)^j v$   $(0 \le j \le -\lambda)$  are linearly independent, and  $\pi'(F)^{(-\lambda+1)}v=0$ . All that's left is to replace  $\pi'(E)$  by  $\pi(F)$ ,  $\pi'(F)$  by  $\pi(E)$ , and  $\pi'(H)$  by  $-\pi(H)$ . Once we do that, the last three statements become the three statements we need.

**Theorem 13.2** In the above notation,

- 1. dim $(\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}) = 1$  if  $\alpha \in \Delta$ .
- 2. If  $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$ , then  $\{\beta + n\alpha : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cap (\Delta \cup \{0\})$  is a finite connected string. I.e. it is  $\{\beta - p\alpha, \beta - (p-1)\alpha, \dots, \beta + (q-1)\alpha, \beta + q\alpha\}$ , where  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and  $p - q = \frac{2K(\alpha,\beta)}{K(\alpha,\alpha)}$ . In particular,  $\frac{2K(\alpha,\beta)}{K(\alpha,\alpha)} \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- 3. If  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $(\alpha + \beta) \in \Delta$ , then  $[\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{g}_{\beta}] = \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}$
- 4. If  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , then  $n\alpha \in \Delta$  iff  $n = \pm 1$ .

Proof. Part (1) is proved by contradiction. Assume dim  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} > 1$ . Consider the subalgebra  $\mathfrak{a}_{\alpha} = \mathbb{F}E \oplus \mathbb{F}F \oplus \mathbb{F}H$  constructed above, where  $E \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}, F \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha},$  $H = \frac{2\nu^{-1}(\alpha)}{K(\alpha,\alpha)} \in \mathfrak{h}$  and [E,F] = H, [E,H] = -2E, [F,H] = 2F. Since  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$  are non-degenerately paired by K, dim  $\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} > 1$ . Therefore, there is a nonzero  $v \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$  for which K(E,v) = 0. For that  $v, [E,v] = K(E,v)\nu^{-1}(\alpha) = 0$ , and  $[H, v] = -\alpha(H)v = -2v$ . Observe that  $\mathfrak{a}_{\alpha}$  is isomorphic to  $sl_2(\mathbb{F})$ , and it is represented in  $\mathfrak{g}$  by the adjoint representation. Further,  $\mathfrak{g}$  is finite-dimensional and contains a non-zero v in  $\mathfrak{g}$  for which ad E(v) = 0 and ad H(v) = -2v. This contradicts part 3 of the basic lemma for  $sl_2$ , therefore dim  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} = 1$ .

We proceed to part (2). Let q be the largest non-negative integer for which  $\beta + q\alpha \in \Delta \cup \{0\}$ ; it must exist, because  $\Delta$  is a finite set. Pick a non-zero  $v \in \mathfrak{g}_{\beta+q\alpha}$ , and again consider the adjoint representation of  $\mathfrak{a}_{\alpha}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $\operatorname{ad} E(v) \in \mathfrak{g}_{\beta+(q+1)\alpha} = \{0\}$ , i.e.  $\operatorname{ad} E(v) = 0$ . Also,

ad 
$$H(v) = [H, v] = ((\beta + q\alpha)(H))v = \left(\frac{2K(\beta, \alpha)}{K(\alpha, \alpha)} + 2q\right)v$$

so, once again, the basic lemma for  $sl_2$  applies. It tells us that  $\frac{2K(\beta,\alpha)}{K(\alpha,\alpha)} + 2q$ lies in  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ , and moreover,  $\beta + q\alpha$ ,  $\beta + (q-1)\alpha$ , ...,  $\beta + q\alpha - 2\left(\frac{K(\beta,\alpha)}{K(\alpha,\alpha)} + q\right)$  all lie in  $\Delta \cup \{0\}$ , because  $\frac{2K(\beta,\alpha)}{K(\alpha,\alpha)} + 2q$ ,  $\frac{2K(\beta,\alpha)}{K(\alpha,\alpha)} + 2q - 2$ , ...,  $-\frac{2K(\beta,\alpha)}{K(\alpha,\alpha)} - 2q$  are eigenvalues of ad H.

Denote  $\frac{2K(\beta,\alpha)}{K(\alpha,\alpha)} + q$  by p, and let p' be the largest non-negative integer for which  $\beta - p\alpha \in \Delta \cup \{0\}$ . Choose a non-zero  $v' \in \mathfrak{g}_{\beta-p'\alpha}$ . Then ad F(v') =0 (because ad  $F(v') \in \mathfrak{g}_{\beta-(p+1)\alpha} = \{0\}$ ), and ad  $H(v') = \left(\frac{2K(\beta,\alpha)}{K(\alpha,\alpha)} - 2p'\right)v'$ . Applying the second version of the basic lemma (Exercise 13.2), we conclude that  $2p' - 2\frac{K(\beta,\alpha)}{K(\alpha,\alpha)} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , and  $\beta - p'\alpha$ ,  $\beta - (p'-1)\alpha$ ,  $\dots \beta - p'\alpha + 2\left(-\frac{K(\beta,\alpha)}{K(\alpha,\alpha)} + p'\right)$  all lie in  $\Delta \cup \{0\}$ . Denote  $-\frac{2K(\beta,\alpha)}{K(\alpha,\alpha)} + p'$  by q'. Since p' and q are the largest possible, we have  $p' \ge p$  and  $q \ge q'$ . But on the other hand,  $p' - q' = p - q = \frac{2K(\alpha,\beta)}{K(\alpha,\alpha)}$ . So both inequalities must be equalities, i.e. p' = p and q' = q. Therefore,  $\{\beta + n\alpha : n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\} \cap (\Delta \cup \{0\}) = \{\beta - p\alpha, \beta - (p-1)\alpha, \dots, \beta + q\alpha\}$  as claimed.

Part (3) follows from this easily. Let  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta \in \Delta$ , and let p be the maximum non-negative integer for which  $\beta - p\alpha \in \Delta$ . As before, if  $v \neq 0$  is an element of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha-p\beta}$ , then  $\operatorname{ad}(F)v = 0$  and  $\operatorname{ad}(H)v = \left(\frac{2K(\beta,\alpha)}{K(\alpha,\alpha)} - 2p\right)v$ . So by the second version of the basic lemma (Exercise 13.2),  $\operatorname{ad}(E)^{j}v \neq 0$  if  $0 \leq j \leq 2p - \frac{2K(\beta,\alpha)}{K(\alpha,\alpha)} = p + q$ . Also,  $q \geq 1$ , because  $\alpha + \beta \in \Delta$ . Therefore  $0 \neq \operatorname{ad}(E)^{p}v \in \mathfrak{g}_{\beta}$ ,  $0 \neq \operatorname{ad}(E)^{p+1}v \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}$ , and of course,  $0 \neq E \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ . Hence  $[\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{g}_{\beta}] = \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}$ , because each of these subspaces is one-dimensional.

Finally, (4) is a consequence of the above. Let  $\beta = n\alpha \in \Delta$ . Then, by (1),  $\frac{2K(\beta,\alpha)}{K(\beta,\beta)} \in \mathbb{Z}$ , i.e.  $\frac{2}{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . So all we need to show is that *n* can't be 2 (the same result for  $-\alpha$  will then imply that *n* can't be -2). However,  $\mathfrak{g}_{2\alpha} = [\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}]$  by (3) and  $[\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}] = 0$  by (1). Thus  $2\alpha$  is not in  $\Delta$ , and *n* can't be 2.