

**18.745 Introduction to Lie algebra**  
**Victor Kac , Fall 2004**  
**Lecture 6 , noted by Liu Ruochuan**

Throughout this lecture  $\mathbb{F}$  will be assumed to be an algebraically closed field.

## Jordan Decomposition

Now suppose  $V$  is a finite dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $A$  is a linear operator on  $V$ . Let  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s\}$  denote the set of distinct eigenvalues of  $A$ . By the theorem of Jordan normal form, in some basis of  $V$ , the matrix of  $A$  is a direct sum of the Jordan blocks  $J_{\lambda_i}$  assigned to some eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$ . If we denote by  $V_{\lambda_i}$  the span of the vectors in the basis which correspond to all Jordan blocks assigned to  $\lambda_i$ , we obtain the following generalized eigenspace decomposition of  $V$ .

$$V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s V_{\lambda_i},$$

where the generalized eigenspace  $V_{\lambda_i}$  can also be defined as

$$V_{\lambda_i} = \{v \in V \mid (A - \lambda_i I)^N v = 0 \text{ for some } N\}$$

We take  $A_s$  as the diagonal part of the Jordan normal form of  $A$ ,  $A_n = A - A_s$ . Then  $A_s$  is semisimple, i.e diagonalizable operator and  $A_n$  is a nilpotent operator. Moreover,  $A_s A_n = A_n A_s$ . This decomposition is called a Jordan decomposition of  $A$ .

**Ex6.1** Show that there exist polynomials  $P(x)$  and  $Q(x)$  such that  $A_s = P(A)$  and  $A_n = Q(A)$

**Solution.** By Chinese remainder theorem, there exists a polynomial  $P(x)$  such that  $P(x) \equiv \lambda_i \pmod{(x - \lambda_i)^n}$  for every  $\lambda_i$ , where  $n = \dim V$ . Then for  $v \in V_{\lambda_i}$ , we have  $P(A)v = \lambda_i v$ . That means  $P(A) = A_s$  and  $(1 - P)(A) = A_n$ .

**Ex6.2** If linear operators  $A$  and  $B$  commute, then any eigenspace and generalized eigenspace of  $A$  is  $B$ -invariant. Conclude that two commuting semi-simple operators can be diagonalized in the same basis.

**Solution.** Let  $V_{\lambda_i}$  be the generalized eigenspace of  $A$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$ . For every  $v \in V_{\lambda_i}$ , we have  $(A - \lambda_i I)^N v = 0$  for some  $N$ . Since  $A$  commutes with  $B$ , we get  $(A - \lambda_i I)^N Bv = B(A - \lambda_i I)^N v = 0$ . Thus  $Bv$  is in  $V_{\lambda_i}$  by definition. That just means the generalized eigenspace of  $A$  is  $B$ -invariant. The method for eigenspace case is the same. Now suppose  $A$  and  $B$  are both semi-simple. Let  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s V_{\lambda_i}$  be the eigenspace decomposition of  $A$ . Since  $B$  is semi-simple and  $V_{\lambda_i}$  is an invariant subspace w.r.t  $B$ ,  $B$  is semi-simple on  $V_{\lambda_i}$ . Then  $B$  can be diagonalized in  $V_{\lambda_i}$  under some basis. Now under the basis, which is the union of these basis,  $A$  and  $B$  are all diagonal.

The Jordan decomposition of a linear operator  $A$  is unique in sense of

**Theorem 1.** Let  $A = A'_s + A'_n$  where  $A'_s$  and  $A'_n$  are also linear operators which satisfy

(1)  $A'_s$  is diagonalizable

(2)  $A'_n$  is nilpotent

(3)  $A'_s A'_n = A'_n A'_s$

Then  $A'_s = A_s$ ,  $A'_n = A_n$ .

*Proof.* We first have  $A'_s - A_s = A_n - A'_n$ . Note that  $A'_s$  and  $A'_n$  commute with  $A$ . So by Ex 6.1,  $A'_s$  and  $A'_n$  commute with both  $A_s$  and  $A_n$ . Then by Ex 6.2,  $A'_s - A_s$  is semisimple. But  $A_n - A'_n$  is nilpotent by the binomial formula. Since the only nilpotent semisimple operator is 0, we conclude that  $A'_s = A_s$  and  $A'_n = A_n$  from the equation given above.  $\square$

## Generalized Weight Space Decomposition

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite dimensional Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $\pi$  a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  in a finite dimensional vector space  $V$  over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Consider the generalized eigenspace decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$  w.r.t  $\text{ada}$  and of  $V$  w.r.t  $\pi(a)$ .

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{\alpha} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}^a \text{ where } \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}^a = \{g \in \mathfrak{g} | (\text{ada} - \alpha)^N g = 0 \text{ for some } N\}$$

$$V = \bigoplus_{\lambda} V_{\lambda}^a \text{ where } V_{\lambda}^a = \{v \in V | (\pi(a) - \lambda)^N v = 0 \text{ for some } N\}$$

These two decompositions are related by

**Proposition 1.**  $\pi(\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}^a) V_{\lambda}^a \subseteq V_{\lambda+\alpha}^a$

We need the following lemma to finish the proof.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $A$  be a unital associate algebra over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Let  $a, b \in A$  and  $\alpha, \lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ . Then we have the following identity

$$(a - \alpha - \lambda)^N b = \sum_{j=0}^N \binom{N}{j} (\text{ada} - \alpha)^j b (a - \lambda)^{N-j}$$

*Proof.* Let  $L_x$  and  $R_x$  denote the operators of left and right multiplication in  $A$  by  $x$ . Then associativity means that  $L_x R_y = R_y L_x$ . We have  $L_{a-\alpha-\lambda} = (\text{ada} - \alpha) + R_{a-\lambda}$ . Note that  $\text{ada} - \alpha$  commute with  $R_{a-\lambda}$ , hence  $L_{a-\alpha-\lambda}^N = \sum_{j=0}^N \binom{N}{j} (\text{ada} - \alpha)^j R_{a-\lambda}^{N-j}$  by the binomial formula. Now apply both sides to  $b$  to obtain the identity.  $\square$

*Proof of the proposition.* Suppose  $g \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}^a$ ,  $v \in V_{\lambda}^a$ . Apply the lemma to  $A = \text{End}(V)$ ,  $a$  is  $\pi(a)$ ,  $b = \pi(g)$ , hence

$$(\pi(a) - \alpha - \lambda)^N \pi(g)v = \sum_{j=0}^N \binom{N}{j} (\text{ad}\pi(a) - \alpha)^j \pi(g) (\pi(a) - \lambda)^{N-j} v$$

Take  $N > \dim \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}^a + \dim V_{\lambda}^a$ , then each summand of the right hand side of the above equation is zero. That means the desired result.

Suppose  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a finite dimensional Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Let  $\pi$  be a representation of  $\mathfrak{h}$  in a finite dimensional vector space  $V$  over  $\mathbb{F}$  and let  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ . Then the generalized weight space attached to  $\lambda$  is defined as

$$V_\lambda = \{v \in V | (\pi(h) - \lambda(h))^N v = 0 \text{ for every } h \in \mathfrak{h} \text{ and some } N\}.$$

**Theorem 2.** *Notation as above and further assume  $\text{char.}\mathbb{F} = 0$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$  is nilpotent. Then*

$$V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*} V_\lambda$$

**Ex 6.3** a) Deduce the theorem from Ex 6.2 in the case when  $\mathfrak{h}$  is abelian.

b) Consider the adjoint representation of the unique 2-dimensional non-abelian Lie algebra to show that theorem 2 fails if  $\mathfrak{h}$  is not nilpotent.

**Solution.** a) For any  $a \in \mathfrak{h}$ ,  $\pi(\mathfrak{h})V_\lambda^a \subset V_\lambda^a$  by Ex 6.2. So if there is at least one  $\pi(a)$  has distinct eigenvalues, then we can apply induction on  $\dim V$ . Otherwise we just need to prove that the only eigenvalue of every  $\pi(a)$  is linear functional on  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Let  $a$  and  $b$  are elements of  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Suppose eigenvalues of  $\pi(a)$  and  $\pi(b)$  are  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  respectively. Then since  $\mathfrak{h}$  is abelian, we have

$$(\pi(a+b) - \lambda - \mu)^N = \sum_{j=0}^N \binom{N}{j} (\pi(a) - \lambda)^j (\pi(b) - \mu)^{N-j}$$

Now choose  $N > 2n$  where  $n = \dim V$ . Then apply the above equation to every  $v \in V$  to conclude that the eigenvalue of  $\pi(a+b)$  is  $\lambda + \mu$ .

b) Denote the unique 2-dimensional non-abelian Lie algebra by  $\mathfrak{b} = \mathbb{F}a + \mathbb{F}b$  which satisfies  $[a, b] = b$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathbb{F}a$  is a generalized eigenspace of  $\text{ad}a$ , but it is not a generalized eigenspace of  $b$ . Thus the theorem may fails if the given Lie algebra is not nilpotent.

*Proof.* Take any  $a \in \mathfrak{h}$ , then  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_0^a$ . Hence by the proposition which we just proved  $\pi(\mathfrak{h})V_\lambda^a \subset V_\lambda^a$  for all  $a \in \mathfrak{h}$  and eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $a$ . So if there is at least one  $\pi(a)$  has distinct eigenvalues, then we can apply induction on  $\dim V$ . Otherwise we just need to prove that the only eigenvalue of every  $\pi(a)$  is linear functional on  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Apply Lie's theorem that all  $\pi(a)$  are upper triangular in some basis, then we deduce the desired result since the eigenvalue are just the numbers on diagonal.  $\square$

**Remark** It is easy to see that we can still get the decomposition even if  $\text{char.}\mathbb{F} \neq 0$ . But  $\lambda$  may not be linear functional on  $\mathfrak{h}$  in this case.

**Ex 6.4** Consider the 2-dimensional representation of  $H_1$  for  $\text{char.}\mathbb{F} = 2$

$$V = \mathbb{F}[x]/x^2\mathbb{F}[x], p = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, q = x, c = 1.$$

Then  $V = V_\lambda$ , but  $\lambda$  is not a linear function.

**Solution.** Note that  $p$  and  $q$  are all nilpotent. But  $p+q$  is not nilpotent since  $(p+q)^2(x) = x$ . So in this case  $\lambda$  is not a linear function.

**Ex 6.5\*** If  $\mathfrak{h}^p = 0$ , then the theorem still holds for  $\text{char.}\mathbb{F} = p$ .