

## Lecture 9 – October 7, 2004

Prof. Victor Kač  
Scribe : Yaim Cooper

Definition. A Polynomial map  $f : \mathbb{F}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$  is a map of the following form,

$$f \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} P_1(x_1, \dots, x_m) \\ \vdots \\ P_n(x_1, \dots, x_m) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where } P_i \text{ are polynomials.}$$

**Exercise 9.1** Let  $A$  be a nilpotent operator in a finite dimensional

vector space  $V$  over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  of characteristic 0. Let  $\text{Exp}(A) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{A^j}{j!}$

Show that  $\text{Exp}(A) : V \rightarrow V$  is an invertible linear map with inverse  $\text{Exp}(-A)$ .

Solution.  $A$  is nilpotent so  $A^m = 0$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $n$  be the smallest natural number for which  $A^n = 0$ . Then

$$\text{Exp}(A) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{A^j}{j!}.$$

(1)  $\text{Exp}(A)$  is linear :

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Exp}(A))(c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2) &= \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{A^j}{j!} \right) (c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{A^j (c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2)}{j!} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{(c_1 A^j v_1 + c_2 A^j v_2)}{j!} \\ &= c_1 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{A^j v_1}{j!} + c_2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{A^j v_2}{j!} = c_1 \text{Exp}(A) v_1 + c_2 \text{Exp}(A) v_2. \end{aligned}$$

(2)  $\text{Exp}(-A)$  is the inverse of  $\text{Exp}(A)$ . Note that if we show  $\text{Exp}(-A) \text{Exp}(A) = I$ , it follows that  $\text{Exp}(A) \text{Exp}(-A) = I$  since  $-(-A) = A$

Consider  $\text{Exp}(xA) \text{Exp}(cA)$  and  $\text{Exp}((x+c)A)$ , where  $x$  and  $c$  are real numbers. Since differentiation with respect to  $x$  gives the same result on both sides, and the expressions are equal when  $x = 0$ , these two expressions are equal. Using  $x = 1$  and  $a = -1$  gives the desired result.

**Exercise 9.2**: If in addition,  $V$  is an algebra and  $A$  is a nilpotent derivation of  $V$ , then  $\text{Exp}(A)$  is an automorphism of the algebra.

Solution. We've shown in 9.1 that  $\text{Exp}(A)$  is an invertible linear map so we only have left to show that  $\text{Exp}(A)$  preserves multiplication in  $V$ .

First we show by induction that  $A^j (v_1 v_2) = \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} A^k v_1 A^{j-k} v_2$ .

Base case :  $A (v_1 v_2) = (A v_1) v_2 + v_1 (A v_2)$  by the definition of a derivation

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Inductive step : } A^j (v_1 v_2) &= A \left( \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \binom{j-1}{k} A^k v_1 A^{j-k-1} v_2 \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \binom{j-1}{k} (A^{k+1} v_1 A^{j-k-1} v_2 + A^k v_1 A^{j-k} v_2) = \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} A^k v_1 A^{j-k} v_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } \text{Exp}(A) (v_1 v_2) &= \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{A^j}{j!} \right) (v_1 v_2) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} A^k v_1 A^{j-k} v_2}{j!} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{j!} \right) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{i!} \right) A^j v_1 A^i v_2 = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{j!} \right) A^j v_1 \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{i!} \right) A^i v_2 \right) \\ &= (\text{Exp}(A) v_1) (\text{Exp}(A) v_2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\text{Exp}(A)$  is an automorphism of  $V$ .

Lemma 3. If  $f : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$  is a polynomial map such that  $df|_a : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$  is a nonsingular linear operator for some  $a \in \mathbb{F}^n$  then  $f(\mathbb{F}^n)$  contains a nonempty Zariski open subset containing  $f(a)$ .

(Note : this is like the implicit function theorem with Zariski open sets replacing open sets)

Lemma 4. Take  $\mathfrak{h}$  to be a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with  $a \in \mathfrak{h}$  a regular element. Then  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}_0^a$ .

Proof.  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a nilpotent subalgebra so  $\text{ad}(a)|_{\mathfrak{h}}$  is nilpotent so  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}_0^a$ . But  $\mathfrak{g}_0^a$  is a nilpotent Lie Algebra and  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a maximal nilpotent subalgebra. Thus  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_0^a$ .

Proof of Theorem. Take  $\mathfrak{h}$  a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then the root space decomposition is given by  $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ ,

where  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \{a \in \mathfrak{g} \mid (\text{ad}(h) - \alpha(h))^n a = 0 \text{ for } n \text{ sufficiently large}\}$   
Moreover,  $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\beta] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{h}$ .

Note that if  $a \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  and  $\alpha \neq 0$ , then  $\text{ad}(a)$  is a nilpotent operator (since  $(\text{ad}(a))^N \mathfrak{g}_\beta \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\beta+N\alpha}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \neq 0$  for a finite number of distinct  $\alpha$ 's since the dimension of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is finite)

Let  $b_1 \dots b_n$  be the union of bases of  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \neq 0$ . Define the polynomial map  $f : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  by

$$f \left( \sum_{i=1}^m x_i b_i + h \right) = \left( \text{Exp} \left( x_1 (\text{ad}(b_1)) \dots \text{Exp} \left( x_m (\text{ad}(b_m)) \right) \right) (h) \right)$$

where  $x_i b_i \in \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \neq 0$  and  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ . Note that

$\left( \text{Exp} \left( x_1 (\text{ad}(b_1)) \dots \text{Exp} \left( x_m (\text{ad}(b_m)) \right) \right) \right)$  is an automorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  
by Lemma 2. Moreover,  $f$  is a polynomial map in the entries  $h$  and  $x_i$ .

Now, apply Lemma 3. Take  $a \in \mathfrak{h}$  such that  $\alpha(a) \neq 0$  for all nonzero  $\alpha$  for which  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  is nonzero.

Compute  $df|_a (b+h) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \left( f \left( t \left( \sum_{i=1}^m x_i b_i + h \right) + a \right) \right)$ . Taylor expanding we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&= ((I + tx_1 \text{adb}_1 + o(t^2)) \dots (I + tx_m \text{adb}_m) + o(t^2))(a + th) \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} t[b, a] + Ith \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} t([b, a] + h) \\
&= [b, a] + h
\end{aligned}$$

So  $df|_a(b+h) = [b, a] + h$  which is nonsingular since it is the identity on  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  and on  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  for all nonzero  $\alpha$  it is  $-\text{ad } a$  which is invertible because  $\text{ad } a$  has the form  $\alpha(a) * \text{Identity} + \text{nilpotent part}$  and  $\alpha(a)$  is nonzero

By Lemma 3,  $(*) (\text{Exp}(x_1(\text{ad}(b_1))) \dots \text{Exp}(x_m(\text{ad}(b_m))))h$  contains a Zariski open subset  $\Omega_h$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , since  $x_1 \dots x_m \in \mathbb{F}$ .

Let  $\Omega_r$  be the set of regular elements of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We know it is a nonempty Zariski open set. Let  $\Omega_i = \Omega_{h_i}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

Since the intersection of finitely many Zariski open sets is nonempty,  $\Omega_{h_1} \cap \Omega_{h_2} \cap \Omega_r$  is nonempty.

Take  $b \in \Omega_{h_1} \cap \Omega_{h_2} \cap \Omega_r$ .  $b$  is regular and contained in  $\sigma_1(h_1)$  and in  $\sigma_2(h_2)$  for some automorphisms  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  due to  $(*)$ .

Hence  $\sigma_1^{-1}(b) \in h_1$  and  $\sigma_2^{-1}(b) \in h_2$ . These are regular elements in  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  respectively, hence by Lemma 4,  $h_1 = \mathfrak{g}_0^{\sigma_1^{-1}(b)}$ ,  $h_2 = \mathfrak{g}_0^{\sigma_2^{-1}(b)}$ .

Take  $\sigma = \sigma_2^{-1} \circ \sigma_1$ . Then  $\sigma(\sigma_1^{-1}(b)) = \sigma_2^{-1}(b)$  maps  $h_1$  to  $h_2$ .

Note: We reduced this theorem to the construction of a certain map. This idea was developed further by Grothendieck who realized that maps between objects are often more important than the objects themselves.

**Exercise 9.3.** Prove the second part of the theorem, ie. that any  $h = \mathfrak{g}_0^a$ , for  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ , using the implicit function theorem instead of Lemma 3.

Solution. The proof holds as above until the line where Lemma 3 is used. In place of Lemma 3 we use the implicit function theorem which shows that because  $df|_a$  is nonsingular  $f(\mathfrak{g})$  contains an open neighborhood  $\Omega_h$  of  $f(a)$ . We need only to show that  $\Omega_h \cap \Omega_r$  is nonempty. After this take  $b \in \Omega_h \cap \Omega_r$ . Since  $b$  is regular and contained in the image of  $h$  under some automorphism  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma^{-1}(b) \in h$  and is a regular element since it is the image under automorphism of a regular element, and thus  $h = \mathfrak{g}_0^{\sigma^{-1}(b)}$ .

Finally, we show that the intersection of an open set with a nonempty Zariski open set is nonempty. We use the fact that if a polynomial vanishes on an open nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , the polynomial is identically zero. The corresponding Zariski open set is the empty set. So if a Zariski open set does not intersect an open neighborhood in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , it is the empty set. The contrapositive of this statement gives the desired result.

Trace form.

Let  $\pi$  be a representation of a Lie Algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  in a finite dimensional vector space  $V$ .

Definition. A trace form on  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the following bilinear form:  $(a, b)_V = \text{Tr}(\pi(a)\pi(b))$ .

Note the following properties of the trace form :

- (1) Bilinearity
- (2) Symmetry
- (3) Invariance (ie.  $([a, b], c)_V + (b, [a, c])_V = 0$ , which is equivalent to  $([a, b], c)_V = (a, [b, c])_V$ )

Proof.

(1) Follows from bilinearity of matrix multiplication and the linearity of the trace operation .

(2) Clear, as  $\text{Tr}([A, B]) = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} (3) & \text{Tr}([\pi(a), \pi(b)]\pi(c)) + \text{Tr}(\pi(b)[\pi(a), \pi(c)]) \\ &= \text{Tr}(\pi(a)\pi(b)\pi(c) - \pi(b)\pi(a)\pi(c) + \pi(b)\pi(a)\pi(c) - \pi(b)\pi(c)\pi(a)) \\ &= \text{Tr}([\pi(a), \pi(b)]\pi(c)) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Exchanging a and b gives  $([b, a], c)_V + (a, [b, c])_V = 0 \Rightarrow ([a, b], c)_V = (a, [b, c])_V$

Proposition. If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a Lie Algebra and  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is an invariant symmetric bilinear form, then  $M^\perp$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$  if  $M$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . (Where  $M^\perp = \{a \in \mathfrak{g} \mid (a, M) = 0\}$ .)  
In particular,  $\mathfrak{g}^\perp = \ker(\cdot, \cdot)$  is an ideal of  $G$ .

Proof. If  $a \in M^\perp$ , then  $(a, m) = 0$ , for any  $m \in M$ . Then for any  $c \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $([a, c], m) = (a, [c, m]) = 0$ , since  $[c, m] \in M$ . Thus for any  $a \in M^\perp$  and  $c \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $[a, c] \in M^\perp$  and  $M^\perp$  is an ideal.